Interview Summary

Application No. 09/304,859

Applicant(s)

Berd, David

Examiner

Jennifer Hunt

Group Art Unit 1642

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT			
	O personnel):	<i>k</i> :	
(1) Jennifer Hunt	(3)		
(2) Paul Fehiner	(4)	, .	
Date of Interview			
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy is given to 1] applican	t 2)□ applicant's represen	tative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \(\subseteq \text{Yes} \)	e) 🛛 No. If yes, brief des	cription:	
		20.2. 1	
Claim(s) discussed: 5	,: 	7.00	,
Identification of prior art discussed:	•		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Applicant drafted a propsed claim which includes the lim administration of the vaccine. Applicant could include d dosages of cyclophosphamide are well known in the art that the protocol in the declaration which included an increassary for the unexpected results instantly claimed. applicant with any recommended revisions, and then applicant with any recommended revisions.	osage ranges for tumor cells i and don't need to be included duction dose is an example of The examiner will review the	n the claims. Applicant feels the in the claims. Applicant explain unexpected results, but is not proposed claim and contact	at ined
administration of the vaccine. Applicant could include d dosages of cyclophosphamide are well known in the art that the protocol in the declaration which included an increassary for the unexpected results instantly claimed applicant with any recommended revisions, and then applicant with any recommended revisions, and then applicant with any recommended revisions.	osage ranges for tumor cells is and don't need to be included duction dose is an example of The examiner will review the olicant will fax an after final a endments which the examiner o copy of the amendments the	In the claims. Applicant feels the lin the claims. Applicant explainment expected results, but is not proposed claim and contact mendment. agreed would render the claims allowed at would render the claims allowed.	s wable
administration of the vaccine. Applicant could include d dosages of cyclophosphamide are well known in the art that the protocol in the declaration which included an increessary for the unexpected results instantly claimed. applicant with any recommended revisions, and then applicant with a provided revisions.	osage ranges for tumor cells is and don't need to be included duction dose is an example of The examiner will review the olicant will fax an after final a endments which the examiner o copy of the amendments the parate record of the substance and WRITTEN REPLY TO THE MPEP section 713.04). If a research of the SINTERVIEW DATE	in the claims. Applicant feels the lin the claims. Applicant explainment expected results, but is not proposed claim and contact mendment. agreed would render the claims allowed the interview (if box is chertically to the last Office action has TO FILE A STATEMENT OF TH	s wable cked).
administration of the vaccine. Applicant could include disages of cyclophosphamide are well known in the art that the protocol in the declaration which included an increessary for the unexpected results instantly claimed. applicant with any recommended revisions, and then applicant with a provision with a provision with a provision with a provision with a provi	osage ranges for tumor cells is and don't need to be included duction dose is an example of The examiner will review the olicant will fax an after final a endments which the examiner o copy of the amendments the parate record of the substance and WRITTEN REPLY TO THE MPEP section 713.04). If a research of the SINTERVIEW DATE	in the claims. Applicant feels the lin the claims. Applicant explainment expected results, but is not proposed claim and contact mendment. agreed would render the claims allowed the interview (if box is chertically to the last Office action has TO FILE A STATEMENT OF TH	s wable cked).
administration of the vaccine. Applicant could include d dosages of cyclophosphamide are well known in the art that the protocol in the declaration which included an increessary for the unexpected results instantly claimed. applicant with any recommended revisions, and then applicant with a provided revisions.	osage ranges for tumor cells is and don't need to be included duction dose is an example of The examiner will review the olicant will fax an after final a endments which the examiner o copy of the amendments the parate record of the substance and WRITTEN REPLY TO THE MPEP section 713.04). If a research of the SINTERVIEW DATE	in the claims. Applicant feels the lin the claims. Applicant explainment expected results, but is not proposed claim and contact mendment. agreed would render the claims allowed the interview (if box is chertically to the last Office action has TO FILE A STATEMENT OF TH	ss swable cked).
administration of the vaccine. Applicant could include d dosages of cyclophosphamide are well known in the art that the protocol in the declaration which included an increessary for the unexpected results instantly claimed. applicant with any recommended revisions, and then applicant with a provided revisions.	osage ranges for tumor cells is and don't need to be included duction dose is an example of The examiner will review the olicant will fax an after final a endments which the examiner o copy of the amendments the parate record of the substance and WRITTEN REPLY TO THE MPEP section 713.04). If a research of the SINTERVIEW DATE	in the claims. Applicant feels the lin the claims. Applicant explainment expected results, but is not proposed claim and contact mendment. agreed would render the claims allowed the interview (if box is chertically to the last Office action has TO FILE A STATEMENT OF TH	s s wable
administration of the vaccine. Applicant could include d dosages of cyclophosphamide are well known in the art that the protocol in the declaration which included an included an included are included and included are included are included applicant with any recommended revisions, and then applicant with any r	osage ranges for tumor cells is and don't need to be included duction dose is an example of The examiner will review the olicant will fax an after final a endments which the examiner o copy of the amendments the parate record of the substance and WRITTEN REPLY TO THE MPEP section 713.04). If a research of the SINTERVIEW DATE	in the claims. Applicant feels the lin the claims. Applicant explainment expected results, but is not proposed claim and contact mendment. agreed would render the claims allowed the interview (if box is chertically to the last Office action has TO FILE A STATEMENT OF TH	ss swable cked).